



**COMMUNISM IS TREASON!**

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

# Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

ISSUE NO. 468, March 1, 1966

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

"The truth,  
the whole truth,  
and nothing  
but the truth  
... without fear  
or favor"

Conde McGinley  
1890 • FOUNDER • 1963

## "URBAN RENEWAL" IN USA PLAN TO LEGALIZE LARCENY

By BLUFORD H. J. BALTER

Let us give thanks that urban renewal, urban redevelopment, urban revitalization, or any name under which it travels, through the abuse of eminent domain, has been unsuccessful in its attempts to invade the State of Louisiana. Let us give thanks that we, in Louisiana, are a beacon and a source of encouragement to other communities in the United States who have opposed this vicious land grab scheme.

Is the fight over? The answer to this is "no." Already, the do gooders and the crass materialists, in an unwholesome combination, have already commenced their preparation for their onslaught in the coming 1966 Louisiana Legislature.

In The Times Picayune, October 6, 1965, appears a full page ad painting a glorious picture of what New Orleans could look like if it embraced the wonderful benefits of urban redevelopment.

An examination of the proposal contained on that page reveals it to be nothing more than a very clever, cynical scheme to gain control of some of the most valuable real estate in the City of New Orleans and place it in the hands of a few.

But oh! What beautiful icing they have placed on the cake. We are told in the article that if we embrace this scheme that it shall be the cure for all of the social, economic and possibly even physical ills that beset the Citizens of New Orleans.

Baton Rouge, sister City of New Orleans on the Mississippi River, has likewise been subjected to the onslaught of the starry-eye do gooder and the crass, materialistic money grubber. In the 1960 Session House Bill 1338 proposed to amend the Constitution of Louisiana to permit the formation of a Baton Rouge downtown improvement district.

Baton Rouge, like many cities in the United States went through a period in the late 1950s and early 1960s of a developmental stagnation in the heart of the business district. The advocates of urban renewal and its many varieties of cousins, tried to use this distressful situation to panic people in Baton Rouge into adopting these schemes.

Happily, Baton Rouge central business district is on the march with the recent announcement of a multi-story office building to go up right in the heart of the business district. This office building will be financed entirely from private capital and this is as it should be.

House Bill 1338 would have created a downtown improvement district which would have had the power of eminent domain, the power to sue and be sued, to issue bonds on the public credit, levy special assessments to fund the bonds and could have taken the property away from the property owners in the business district and converted it into any kind of business operation which it wanted to do. The improvement district could have entered the drug store business, the department store business, possibly even the hotel, restaurant and parking garage business. This Bill was defeated in the Legislature.

The opposition to it came not only from Baton Rouge, but also from many people in New Orleans and among the vocal opponents were Representatives of the Parking Garage Industry.

In 1964, House Bill 1214, which did not pin point Baton Rouge, would have created authority in the Constitution for the creation of municipal service districts. The municipal service districts would have been able to sell bonds on the public credit, sue and be sued, increase ad valorem tax without limit and would have had the power of eminent domain. Those municipal service districts could have taken property away from private owners and could have done anything they wanted to do with the property.

Like House Bill 1338, House Bill 1214 provided a procedure for opposition to be raised; but in order for one to invoke the procedures of opposition reminds one of trying to learn how to do a complicated ballet dance. As a practical matter, the vast majority of property owners would have been unaware of their rights because of the obscurity of the language contained in the authority creating the districts.

Finally, each one of these districts provided that private property taken away from private individuals by eminent domain could then be sold to other private individuals.

House Bill 1214 did pass through the Legislature and became Act 559 of 1964, but was rejected by the people of Louisiana in a referendum, 218,172 votes against and 152,654 votes for.

Why do politicians interest themselves in these nefarious schemes? What benefit can

possibly result to a politician, who lives by the votes of the public, to tread such a dangerous tight rope as supporting these vicious land grab schemes?

Perhaps Drew Pearson had the answer when, in his column of Friday, September 3, 1965, in the New Orleans States-Item he discussed the abuse of urban redevelopment in the Chavez Ravine Project in Los Angeles as a direct contributing factor to the recent Los Angeles riots.

In the Chavez Ravine, 3300 ill-housed families were kicked out of their homes on the excuse to put up a new, modern public housing project.

Subsequently, the land was sold to the one-time Brooklyn Dodgers to make a baseball park and these 3300 families went to swell the already overcrowded area of Watts.

Drew Pearson goes on to state that "someone high up Los Angeles Real Estate circles presented 'gifts' of \$57,570.00 to . . ." a City Councilman to switch his vote against the public housing project and in favor of the Dodgers Baseball Team.

Upon the death of the City Councilman in question, enormous sums of cash were found in his safety deposit box in the bank and the only explanation which his survivors could give was that they were "gifts of money" to the late Commissioner by friends.

In an article in The Times Picayune of July 10, 1964, Russell Kirk stated the basic premise that urban renewal can result in a fiasco. Urban renewal has resulted in a fiasco in practically every community in which it has been utilized because it has not gone to (Please Turn to Page 2)

## LBJ RULES AS ELECTED MONARCH CONGRESS AND STATES ABDICATE TO WHITE HOUSE

The Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965 was passed by Congress August 16th, with only scant notice in the press. Any statute so completely destructive of the checks and balances in our government should have received nationwide analysis and debate. The following was written by the publisher, who is a lawyer, after careful examination of the federal statute and two related California statutes.

By WILLIAM HOCKER DRAKE  
Freedom Press, Los Angeles, Calif.

In the name of economic opportunity and humanitarianism, Congress has given the President a collar and leash for every local area in the United States. It did so by passing the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965.

The provisions of this act can make the Executive Department into the biggest investor, banker and landlord in the United States, holding a government-directed empire beyond Congressional restraint. The directors of this empire would have coercive power to turn proud, independent citizens into vassals and State and local gov-

ernments into administrative flunkies.

All that remains to be done to start the process is for local citizens to fasten the collar around their own necks and for the Chief Executive to grasp the leash. This figure of speech refers to the requirement in the Economic Development Act that local governments elect to participate and that the Executive Department declare the area to be a redevelopment area.

Considering the widespread addiction to "federal money" for local affairs and the occupational disease of Presidents — a lust for more power—this act threatens to bring about the placement of the President's economic commissars in every business area of the Nation.

With most businesses and jobs becoming dependent upon his favor, the commissar, who is called a "federal co-chairman," would constitute a supervisory line of authority from the President to the local area and make a mockery of every check and balance provided by our federal and State constitutions.

To establish this empire, the President's (Please Turn to Page 4)



(Continued from Page 1)

the grass roots of the problem which it is allegedly designed to solve. The problem of real estate stagnation and decline is one of economics. And this has been demonstrated in many communities which were suffering from real estate and losses during the 1950s but who, in the 1960s, through the investment of private capital, had completely revitalized these areas. This is most manifest in the City of New Orleans where skyscrapers are being erected almost at the rate of one a year in the business district.

To the argument that low rent housing via eminent domain and urban renewal, will eliminate slums, those people who live in the cities which have enjoyed this dubious benefit know that all public housing does is perpetuate the slums in brick, concrete and steel.

The public is awakening to the truth however, as is manifest in the article which appeared in the Reader's Digest of April, 1965; "Myths of Urban Renewal," "The Federal Bull Dozer," by Dr. Martin Anderson, Assistant Professor of Columbia University Graduate School of Business and a Fellow of the Joint Center for Urban Studies of MIT and Harvard.

In this article, Dr. Anderson explains the hopelessness of trying to revitalize, renew, or rehabilitate neighborhoods or commercial areas through the scheme of urban renewal via eminent domain. Dr. Anderson categorically points out that each one of the reasons postulated in support of urban renewal have failed in actual application in the cities where they have been adopted.

The public is being awakened however and cities like Bloomington, Minnesota, Pomona, California, Spokane, Washington, Midland, Texas, Reno, Nevada, Anaheim, California, Jackson, Mississippi, Orlando, Florida, all have refused to participate in this scheme and have manifested their disenchantment with it.

Recently the Cities of Provo and Salt Lake City, Utah rejected urban renewal by landslide votes.

But what happens to the people? What happens to the working class people who are shuffled around in these inhuman experiments? A book recently published deals with the human side of the problem.

Bryton and Ella Barron have just published "The Inhumanity of Urban Renewal" published by Crestwood Books of Springfield, Virginia, in which they deal with the human tragedy that results from this unholy combination of do gooders and cynical, crass, real estate promoters who are looking for the easy buck.

Let us take our inspiration from the pilgrims and have a day of thanksgiving for all of the blessings that we enjoy in this Country. Let us particularly give thanks that we, in Louisiana, have successfully withstood the ravages of the advocates of urban renewal. But like the pilgrims, let us not put down our muskets and rest on our laurels; the fight is still on. With the prospect of hundreds of thousands and even millions of dollars of profits to be made, these advocates of urban renewal will not rest but will make onslaught after onslaught.

Let's turn our backs on Washington, solve our own problems and give thanks to God that we are able to do so.

**POINT 1 — URBAN RENEWAL is INHERENTLY UNJUST** because it **EXPROPRIATES PROPERTY** by **PUBLIC AUTHORITY** and **RESELLS** it for exploitation to other **PRIVATE INTERESTS** for specious benefits to the public, **ERRONEOUSLY IDENTIFIED** with the common good, for the alleged progress and re-vitalization. It fails to give **JUST COMPENSATION** for **EXPROPRIATED PROPERTY**.

**POINT 2 — URBAN RENEWAL is ADDITIONALLY UNJUST**, when it fails to give **JUST COMPENSATION** for such **EXPROPRIATED PROPERTY** and gives **NO COMPENSATION**, or **VERY LITTLE** for business losses incurred thereby, and even putting **SELF SUPPORTING** people on the **PRIVATE CHARITY** or **PUBLIC WEL-**

**FARE LISTS, at GREAT INCREASE of TAXES, shared also by the DISPOSSESSED.**

**POINT 3 — The STATE, without further ado, has INHERENT POWER of EMINENT DOMAIN, and may, with JUST COMPENSATION EXPROPRIATE OWNERSHIP, USE or MANAGEMENT of PRIVATE PROPERTY, for the COMMON GOOD or GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSE, not to be IDENTIFIED with MERE PUBLIC BENEFITS for any ACCUMULATION of PRIVATE INTEREST. The LEGISLATURE or CONGRESS has NO AUTHORITY to SUBTRACT or ADD to EMINENT DOMAIN.**

**POINT 4 — To try to LEGALIZE URBAN RENEWAL via EMINENT DOMAIN is ATTEMPTED THIEVERY, and when LEGALIZED it is LEGALIZED THIEVERY and LEGALIZED ROBBERY, and SOME MEN, CITY PLANNERS and COHORTS should HANG THEIR HEADS IN SHAME down through ETERNITY, because CONFISCATION of PRIVATE PROPERTY for PRIVATE ENTERPRISE is a VIOLATION of the natural law of HONESTY, no matter how much it is LEGALIZED, no matter how great the COMPENSATION.**

**POINT 5 — POINT OF LAW — How is LEGAL PLUNDER to be identified? Quite simple. See if the LAW takes from persons what belongs to them, and gives it to other persons, to whom it DOES NOT belong. Again, POINT OF LAW — See if the LAW BENEFITS one Citizen at the expense of another, by doing what the Citizen himself CANNOT DO WITHOUT COMMITTING A CRIME.**

## "INSURGENCY"

By EDITH KERMIT ROOSEVELT

America's youth is being offered a new profession to look forward to—a lifetime training in insurgency. Given the professional label of insurgency, it is the American version of Trotsky's "permanent revolution."

The career of the typical insurgent is begun in his college days when a Marxist professor singles him out as a potential leader. He then is enabled to take a year off or even several years working and training with radical leftwing groups. His living expenses are met. In the forefront are the Students for a Democratic Society and the Students Non-Violent Coordinating Committee.

By the time he is in his late 20's or early 30's, the embryo insurgent is in a position to put into practice on college campuses what he has been taught in these specialized training schools. During the riots at the University of California at Berkeley, the public was given its first demonstration of our growing insurgent force of students. Many among them are not students at all. In our leftwing jargon, they are called "non-students." Abroad, they have the name of "professional students." They are assigned by the Communists to colleges and universities in Southeast Asia. They are appointed to attend a specific class and their job is to stay in it, never advancing. For this, they have the cooperation of Reds and opportunists on the faculties. Many of those so-called "youths" are middle-aged.

These insurgents have very proficient teachers for this new profession. A number of the students are the sons and daughters of Communist and Socialist revolutionaries, who have been taught these things with their milk. Some among them systematically go from campus to campus setting up pro-Soviet or pro-Red Chinese groups.

Both the liberals and the conservatives are failing to face up to the fact that these student revolts, conducted with such professionalism, are part of a worldwide operation. An insurgent intellectual at Harvard or at the University of Michigan is as much of a soldier in the Communist world army as a Viet Cong guerrilla. Both operations are part of the Communist technique of "protracted conflict."

They have learned to operate their own

communications systems. They conduct their own efficient radio mechanism so that leaders can keep in touch with cadres at all times and swiftly give orders. They write and distribute directives and propaganda. They circulate word-of-mouth slogans—"agit prop." They extract "contributions" and "taxes" from pliable groups in the community that "don't want any trouble." They conduct a highly sophisticated psychological warfare operation that always puts the "enemy," the police and the college administration, in the wrong. They know how to stage a lie-in and exactly how to go limp when arrested, confident that their "police brutality" campaign guarantees them soft treatment. Among their recruitment methods are the use of sex and pornography.

The third step in the career of an insurgent comes when he is in his late 30's and early 40's. Then he can count on a number of respectable cover jobs made available to him either teaching at a university, with a tax-exempt foundation or in the Federal bureaucracy. In applying for government jobs, he well knows that the attorney general's list of Communist fronts is out of date, and none of the new subversive groups have been added.

On retirement, an insurgent can devote full time to teaching insurgency in what are known as "Institute-work-shops." This network of schools, specializing in so-called "creative disorder" has been set up all over the country by groups professing an interest in civil rights, peace or poverty. Some of the training schools are operated by Turn Towards Peace (TTP), a group believing in "unilateral initiatives" in disarmament just by the United States. It has organized militant student peace marches and similar operations. A TTP letter published in the May 1965 issue of the Progressive magazine frankly outlines a year's "intern program" which combines practical work in the communities with political theory and study:

"Work assignments include: administration of a volunteer office; development of competence in three areas of work; experience working in a community. Study includes a rigorous seventeen session seminar; preparation of an original paper in some area of policy formulations; analysis of social change techniques; evaluation of the history of an American peace organization."

What about the so-called "non-violent" techniques taught at typical schools of insurgency? These techniques include the art of staging economic boycotts, school boycotts, rent strikes, mass demonstrations, tying up telephone lines, road blocks and other means of "social disruption." Every legitimate or fancied grievance is exploited and kept from being solved.

**Inconceivable as it sounds, all this is happening in the United States.**



**JAMES BALDWIN — Ardent advocate of CIVIL RIGHTS REVOLUTION, contemptuous of White and Christian morals his writings playing up sex and immorality have been condemned by reviewers throughout the nation. His filthy books have had a baneful influence on our youth and have greatly advanced the cause of insurgency.**



# ROBERT WEAVER'S PAST RECORD

Those concerned over the hidden and startling dangers contained in the **PUBLIC WORKS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1965** can get small comfort from the recent Senate approval (not one dissenting vote) of the new Cabinet appointee, **ROBERT C. WEAVER**, head of the newly-created **DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**. This post is one of the most power-usurping ever created. Figuratively speaking, the new administrator has in his hands the fate of every family in America. In addition to the Dept. of Housing, it appears Weaver will control The Rent Supplemental Program, Federal National Mortgage Assn., The Community Facilities Administration, and the Housing and Home Finance Agency.

A recent **SENATE BANKING AND CURRENCY COMMITTEE** report reveals the plan to do away with all local control of cities. The chief architect and director of this move is none other than Robert C. Weaver. The two Invisible Government directed bills which make this plan law are: **H.R. 12341 THE DEMONSTRATION CITIES ACT** and **H.R. 12946 THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACT**. This latter is to rebuild metropolitan areas.

## PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS IN RECENT YEARS

Judging by the type of presidential appointments that have been approved in recent years, the Senate Committee, investigating the fitness of a candidate, has a most unusual way of reasoning. Communist Front affiliations and past associations are completely ignored. This all-important matter to the security of the nation has about as much effect on a Senate Committee, deliberating upon an appointee's fitness for office, as the fact that his past record may have included several traffic offenses. What was once unpardonable no longer receives a second thought.

Recent years have witnessed the approval of appointee after appointee, where the candidate's red record was known and irrefutable charges made but our Congress has seen fit to ignore the truth as in the case of **FELIX FRANKFURTER**, **HARRY DEXTER WHITE**, **DEAN ACHESON**, **PHILIP JESSUP**, **ANNA ROSENBERG**, **DEAN RUSK**, **ARTHUR GOLDBERG**, **THURGOOD MARSHALL**, **ABE FORTAS**, to name a few.

## ENTER DR. WEAVER

To pay off a political debt LBJ has seen fit to create a new department and place at its head a man known for his Marxist background. Any man is judged by his friends. Following is a partial list of the record of Dr. Robert Weaver, head of the newly-created Cabinet Post, **DEPT. OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**.

## THE PRO-COMMUNIST RECORD OF ROBERT C. WEAVER

**1. NATIONAL CITIZEN'S POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE** (SIDNEY HILLMAN'S GROUP). Member Executive Committee, official list, Aug. 28, 1944 (pg. 263, Appendix IX, SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES).

The SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES, HOUSE REPORT 1311, referring to the NCPAC, made this observation:

"It represents the Communist Party's supreme bid for power throughout its 25 years of existence in this country."

**2. COUNCIL ON AFRICAN AFFAIRS**, Endorser of program — "NEW AFRICA", Oct. 1945.

"Cited as subversive and Communist" (Attorney General Clark, letters to Loyalty Review Board, released Dec. 4, 1947, and Sept. 21, 1948).

"Cited as Communist Front, formed to provoke racial friction."

(Internal Security Subcommittee of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Handbook



**DR. ROBERT C. WEAVER** — Appointed by LBJ to head the newly-created Cabinet post, **DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**.

For Americans, Sen. Doc. 117, April 23, 1956, Pg. 92, also Pg. 59).

## 3. NATIONAL NEGRO CONGRESS

Discussion leader at second Congress, Oct. 1937.

"Cited as subversive and communist." Attorney Gen. Tom Clark, letter to Loyalty Review Board, released Dec. 4, 1947, and Sept. 21, 1948.

"The Communist Front movement in the U.S. among negroes is known as the National Negro Congress. The officers of the NATIONAL NEGRO CONGRESS are outspoken communist sympathizers, and a majority of those on the Executive Board are outright Communists." (Special Comm. On Un-Amer. Act., Annual House Report 2, Jan. 3, 1939, pg. 81, House Report 1476, Jan. 3, 1940, Pg. 9.)

## 4. NEGRO PEOPLES' COMMITTEE TO AID SPANISH DEMOCRACY

Signer of letter, Daily Worker, Feb. 8, 1939, pg. 2.

Cited as Communist Front (SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES HOUSE REPORT 1311, March 29, 1944, Pg. 184.)

## 5. SOCIAL WORK TODAY COOPERATORS

Donor to "SOCIAL WORK TODAY" in 1940 (See SOCIAL WORK TODAY, Jan. 1941, Pg. 16-18).

## 6. TESTIMONIAL DINNER IN HONOR OF FERDINAND C. SMITH

Sponsor, program Sept. 20, 1944

Smith is referred to as "HIGH IN THE CIRCLES OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY" in "GUIDE TO SUBVERSIVE ORGANIZATIONS", pg. 125.

## 7. WASHINGTON BOOK SHOP

Member (1941) H.C.U.A. 1943

"Cited as subversive and Communist under the name WASHINGTON BOOK SHOP ASSOCIATION." (Attorney Gen. Clark, letters to LOYALTY REVIEW BOARD, released Dec. 4, 1947 and Sept. 21, 1948)

"Cited as Communist Front under the name 'WASHINGTON BOOK SHOP', (SPECIAL COMM. ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES, HOUSE REPORT 1311).

## 8. NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE

—Chrm. Board of Directors. The NAACP has not been listed as a Communist Front by any Congressional Committee. This is inexcusable, because hundreds of their top members have communist front affiliations and devote their time to spreading the communist program in this country. The GEORGIA COMMISSION ON EDUCATION prepared a booklet giving the C.F. affiliations of top officials. This Commission states: "One hundred and forty-five (or more than 61 per cent), of these individuals have been involved in one way or another, with Com-

munist enterprises, for a total of 2,200 affiliations of public record . . ." (Page 42, "COMMUNISM AND THE NAACP). THE SPINGARN BROTHERS (ZIONISTS) HEADED IT FOR YEARS. The Communists have always considered it a red front, and ED BECK, a Communist, while Secretary for the NAACP in San Mateo County, Calif., stated:

"ALL COMMUNISTS WORKING WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF THE NAACP ARE INSTRUCTED TO WORK FOR A CHANGE OF THE PASSIVE ATTITUDE OF THE NAACP TOWARD A MORE MILITANT, DEMONSTRATIVE CLASS STRUGGLE POLICY TO BE EXPRESSED BY SIT-INS, DEMONSTRATIONS, MARCHES AND PROTESTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF TRANSFORMING THE NAACP INTO AN ORGANIZATION FOR THE ACHIEVEMENT OF COMMUNIST OBJECTIVES."

The above statement is contained in an affidavit, sworn to by Karl Prussion, former counter spy for the FBI from 1947 to 1960, who was in attendance at a Communist meeting when the above statement was made.

\* \* \* \* \*

This by no means completes the unfavorable Marxist picture of Robert Weaver. The Communist publication "MASSES AND MAINSTREAM" for Aug. 1948, reviewed Weaver's book "THE NEGRO GHETTO" favorably. The reviewer, Herbert Aptheker, is a high Communist Party official. Another article by Weaver "A NEGRO GOES TO THE SOVIET UNION" appeared in the "MICHIGAN HERALD" for Feb. 16, 1947. According to the Daily Worker, the Herald at that time had a Communist editor.

Weaver's extreme left-wing pro-Communist background speaks for itself. What has happened to the many charges made by such Senators as Blakeley, Ellender and Robinson in 1961 when the name of Weaver was first submitted for this position. Why the silence this time? Has the documentation in Weaver's record changed? Is it the same old story of the Invisible Government sending out the word, and everybody folding up their tent and going home? Weaver has been referred to as "the most influential negro in the Government" as far back as 1944. He disposed of the communist charges rather neatly at the Committee hearings. BUT WE SUBMIT TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE THAT HERE IS A PRO-COMMUNIST RECORD THAT CANNOT BE IGNORED. Weaver pleaded ignorance. How about the AMERICAN NEGRO CONGRESS with which Weaver was affiliated as late as fifteen months after it was officially cited as a Communist Front? We agree with Senator Blakley, who, referring to Weaver's plea of ignorance, stated:

"This nevertheless shows poor judgment and a tendency to be extremely radical — a characteristic not becoming the top Housing Administrator." (Cong. Record, Feb. 9, 1961).

Let us remember that we are not dealing with an underprivileged ghetto victim. Rather, Robert Weaver is a university man of letters. Is it possible that Weaver could be so naive as to be unaware of Hillman's early Bolshevik background and that he was a wanted criminal? If Weaver is that naive, the doom of the new department is already sealed. If he was (and still is) a dedicated Marxist, then Congress has placed at the head of the department a man whose first allegiance is not to the United States. And some other patriotic, anti-Communist Negroes, who could do a first rate job have been denied the opportunity to serve their country and their race.

It seems our Congress does not want to be confused with the facts — their minds are made up!

**Terrible 1313 Revisited by Jo Hindman**

Compilation of Metro articles ----- \$2.00



(Continued from Page 1)

Secretary of Commerce has the use of a large and increasing revolving fund that continues without time limit and can be spent without Congressional action.

Over the next five years this program will acquire between 1.1 and 1.4 billion dollars, plus repayments from the old Area Redevelopment Administration.

However, as the history of federal programs shows, these funds are only a starter. Huge additional appropriations are almost inevitable if local areas demand "their share."

The Economic Development Act has so many provisions considered dangerous and dubious that to list them without providing a look at the Act itself is to invite disbelief. For complete reprint of the wording of the entire Act send 20 cents to Freedom Press, Box 64217, Los Angeles, Calif.

To assist the reader the following analysis is given with the references to specific portions of the Act.

With a growing revolving fund independent of Congressional appropriations and the expansion of areas and activities determined solely by Presidential appointees, the mechanism has been established for a bureaucratic control system that can reward or punish with its economic operations. 201 (a), 202 (a) (1), 202 (b) (2), 203.

Federal administrators control the granting of monies for public works and development facilities to governmental units or non-profit organizations or associations which can be said to represent an area. 101 (a). Opponents charge that the term "development facilities," which is nowhere included in the act, includes items such as golf courses.

The applicant for a loan must be approved by a local government where the project is located. 202 (b) (2). However, the local governmental agency is under pressure to conform with administration wishes in that the redevelopment area may be terminated by the Secretary of Commerce at any time after annual review. 402. Also any decision by a regional commission can be vetoed by the federal co-chairman. 502 (c).

Public funds can also be used for such activities as a propaganda network and to buy support from foundations and political action groups. Under the guise of "research," "planning," "training," and the like, the federal administrators under this program are free to support opinion-moulding organizations and vote-delivering organizations. 301 (a) (b).

It is possible that a new Banking System is being set up as well as a business empire. The Secretary of Commerce has the power to buy and sell securities and property, guarantee loans, and make loans. 202 (a) 701 (4), (5), (6), (8). A windfall to large lending institutions tied in with political action groups is possible under the guarantee provision.

Urban renewal programs can be financed with less local approval necessary. 202 (a).

Covert disarmament can be brought about by diverting funds from military preparedness to this program. 301 (c), 501 (7), 701 (3).

The Act applies to Puerto Rico, which already has its operation bootstrap which consists of exemption from federal taxes and tariff-free entry into the United States. 706.

As a means of insuring favorable votes in Congress, every state is guaranteed at least one redevelopment area regardless of the prosperity of the State. 401 (d).

State and local governments are coerced to enter into regional planning agencies in order to get the long-term planning approval necessary for applications for grants and loans. 202 (b), (10).

Previous programs of the same general nature are extended indefinitely under the directions of the Secretary. 715.

The Secretary's authority to designate economic development regions can be justified by such subjective factors as "the area

is adversely affected by changing industrial technology." 501 (6).

There are a number of supposedly reassuring phrases in the Act, which upon examination turn out to be applicable or effective only if an opinion or finding of the Secretary or a Cabinet member honors them.

1—No competition with business or money lending institutions. 201 (a) (2), 201 (d), 202 (g) (4).

2—No "pirating" of industry by areas being developed. 202.

3—No subsidizing of competition by loaning money below market rates. 201 (b), 202 (b) (8).

4—Local authorities have a "reasonable opportunity to review and comment on proposed projects. 201 (e).

5—Annual review and annual report to Congress. 402, 509, 707.

6—A National Advisory Committee to be appointed. 602.

Longtime observers of the political scene concede that the Act can be fought at the local level provided citizens not only understand the one-man rule it establishes but also the alternatives available.

It is not generally understood that various actions of the federal government are producing the very problems of depressed areas and impoverished local governments that the federal government is offering to solve through the Economic Development Act.

Conversely, there are a number of things that the federal government could cease doing that would, to a large extent, solve the same problems as the Act purports to do. Here are a few that have appeared in published discussions:

The federal government could cease taxing its citizens to subsidize foreign economies and foreign competition.

The federal government could cease its practice of giving special tax benefits to industries running away to foreign countries, with the consequent loss of jobs here.

The federal government could cease selling surplus agricultural products to foreign manufacturers at prices below the cost to domestic manufacturers.

The federal government could cease taking away from local areas tax money that local areas could collect if the federal tax were removed.

The federal government could cease to impose rules on local welfare programs that screen waste and fraud from public view.

For these reasons opponents do not believe the Act to be a necessary answer for every area with an unemployment problem.

One constitutional authority and historian offered the following comment as a summary of the problem.

"Can we ever safely forget that while local political dictatorship in the United States can always be fought from the sanctuary of another city or another state, a National political dictatorship will be able to keep its opposition beaten down?"

"And can we ever forget that the usual path to dictatorship is to create problems, then offer to solve them in return for a grant of absolute power?"

# BE ALERT!

**FACTS:** At Dublin, New Hampshire 2 to 6 October, 1965, the United World Federalists held conference. They came up with the "2nd Dublin Declaration" which states "as a basis for discussion" among world government proponents, the United Nations as the best instrument through which to establish World Government. The declaration proposes:

1. Universal and complete disarmament, subject to effective inspection.

2. An adequate World Police Force composed of individuals, not national contingents.

3. Universal membership, world citizenship; no member allowed to withdraw.

4. World legislative body with an executive and a judicial branch.

5. Reliable world revenue to support the organization.

6. Safeguards against interference in domestic affairs of member nations.

7. Adoption of the Charter by a "preponderance of all nations and peoples."

**SIGNIFICANCE:** For 20 years the World Federalists have been denouncing the United Nations as "inadequate for World Federalism." This declaration means that a solid international front has been put together which will make even stronger efforts to get Congress to change our Constitution and the United Nations Charter. At this 20th anniversary of the U.N., we have seen it is ready to collapse. Mr. Goldberg, with U.S. tax payer's money, had to bail it out by paying the dues of nations who would not, including the U.S.S.R. and France. This power group now hopes to keep it alive until they can create a stronger, monolithic, anti-Christian dictatorship, based on force. An important question: Who is going to enforce world law? The U.N. voting patterns show clearly it will be the Communists.

In this connection, it is significant that the following legislation, following Senate Con. Resolution No. 32 and House Con. Resolution No. 384, is under consideration before the Congress.

1. General and Complete Disarmament.

2. An International Disarmament Organization.

3. Permanent World Peace Force.

4. World Court With Power to settle International Disputes.

5. Financing Arrangements to Support Peace-Keeping Machinery.

It is no coincidence that the Congress has before it the identical legislation wanted by the "Dublin Resolution". Prominent in its support are James P. Warburg, Marion McVitty, Grenville Clark, Norman Thomas, etc, all liberal one-worlders and their various organizations.

The purpose is made even more clear when you examine a proposed amendment to the United Nations Participating Act (S 1903). This would give the Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary at the N.Y. headquarters of the U.N. (Mr. Goldberg) the right to assign representatives for the USA to the U.N. conferences on nuclear energy and disarmament.

**ACTION INDICATED:** (1) By every means available arouse public opinion to ensure the defeat of Senate Bill 1903; to keep the Connally Amendment as the only safeguard against complete surrender of American Sovereignty, to make it clear to your representatives in Congress that you will vote them out of office if they dare surrender our sovereignty, which they have no power under the Constitution to do.

(2) See that your senators and representatives get copies of this Alert.

(3) In addition to spreading this Alert by the chain letter method, do all you can by telephone and personal conversation to form hard core resistance groups to fight against this treasonable scheme.

(4) Demand the proper punishment for traitors who are guilty of this attempt to surrender us into the hands of our enemies.

P. A. Del VALLE, President

DEFENDERS OF

THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION, INC.

## Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S.	U. S.
	CANADA	CANADA
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00

Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year

BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED

20 copies	\$1.00
100 copies	4.00
500 copies	15.00
1000 copies	20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.

530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083